

Appl. No. 10/707,512  
Amdt. dated October 15, 2004  
Reply to Office action of September 01, 2004

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

**1. Objection to the specification:**

The title of the invention has been amended to more clearly indicate the invention to  
5 which the claims are directed. Acceptance of the amended title is requested.

**2. Rejection of claims 1 and 4 under 35 USC 102(b):**

To overcome the rejection of claim 1, claim 1 has been amended to include the  
limitations previously found in original claim 2, and claim 2 has been cancelled. Claim 2 had  
10 previously been indicated as objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but  
otherwise being allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Similarly, to overcome the rejection of claim 4, claim 4 has been amended to include  
the limitations previously found in original claim 5, and claim 5 has been cancelled. Claim 5  
15 had previously been indicated as objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,  
but otherwise being allowable if rewritten in independent form. In light of the above  
amendments, reconsideration of currently claims 1 and 4 is respectfully requested.

**3. Rejection of claims 6-12 under 35 USC 103(a):**

20 To overcome the rejection of claim 6, claim 6 has been amended to include the  
limitations previously found in original claim 8, and claim 8 has been cancelled. Claim 8 had  
previously been indicated as objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but  
otherwise being allowable if rewritten in independent form. Claims 7 and 9-12 are dependent  
on claim 6, and should be allowed if claim 6 is allowed. Reconsideration of claims 6-7 and

Appl. No. 10/707,512  
Amdt. dated October 15, 2004  
Reply to Office action of September 01, 2004

9-12 is respectfully requested.


4. Introduction to new claims 13 and 14:

5 New claim 13 is written to include all of the limitations previously found in original claims 1 and 3. Claim 3 had previously been indicated as objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but otherwise being allowable if rewritten in independent form. Claim 14 is a duplicate of original claim 2. Acceptance of new claims 13 and 14 is requested.

10 No new matter has been added through the new claims added or through any of the amendments made to existing claims. Since the claims are all in condition for allowance, applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

15



Date: October 15, 2004

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526

20 P.O. BOX 506

Merrifield, VA 22116

U.S.A.

Facsimile: 806-498-6673

e-mail : winstonhsu@naipo.com

25 (Please contact me by e-mail if you need a telephone communication and I will return your call promptly.)